The ocean is teeming with organisms so small you can’t see them, populations of microorganisms called phytoplankton. Tiny they may be, but over recent decades these microscopic plant-like organisms have been shown to help drive the global carbon cycle. Further research by marine biologists is steadily revealing the important role of microorganisms and their genes, and raising new questions about how they evolved. Can we use this knowledge to help us restore balanced carbon cycling?
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Colourful tropical fish flit among sea anemones in a coral reef. Anglers pose on deck with giant marlins. Porpoises play. The ocean’s bounty of animal life has long provided people with food, adventure and a sense of awe and wonder. But none of it would be possible without the single-celled organisms called phytoplankton that float by the thousands in every drop of water in the top 100 metres of the sea.

Phytoplankton comprise two main groups: photosynthetic cyanobacteria and the single-celled algae that drift in the sunlit top layers of oceans. They provide food, directly or indirectly, for virtually every other marine creature. They emit much of the oxygen that permeates our atmosphere. Their fossilized remains, buried and compressed by geological forces, are transformed into oil, the dense liquid of carbon that we use to fuel our cars, trucks and buses. In addition, according to research that has only recently come into focus, they play a huge role in the cycling of carbon dioxide from the atmosphere to the biosphere and back, and this cycling helps to control Earth’s climate.

**A CERTAIN RATIO**

Early clues to the global importance of phytoplankton emerged in the 1930s. Over several research voyages, oceanographers had collected thousands of samples of sea water from the deep ocean (below a depth of 500 metres) around the
world. They then measured the relative amounts of carbon, nitrogen and phosphorus — elements needed to construct essential cellular molecules — in both phytoplankton and the sea water. Alfred Redfield of Harvard University in Massachusetts realized that the proportions of these elements in the ocean were not haphazard. In every region of the ocean sampled, the ratio of nitrogen atoms to phosphorus atoms in the deep ocean was 16 to 1 — the same ratio as in the phytoplankton. Were the phytoplankton mirroring the ocean? Or were these tiny organisms determining the chemistry of the vast waters?

For more than 20 years, Redfield and others puzzled over why these ratios were identical. He eventually made a crucial conceptual leap, proposing in 1958 that phytoplankton not only reflected the chemical composition of the deep ocean, but created it. He suggested that as phytoplankton and the animals that ate them died and sank to the bottom, along with those animals’ faecal matter, microorganisms in the deep sea broke that material down into its chemical constituents, creating sea water with the same proportions of nitrogen and phosphorus.

The sea is not the only place where microorganisms shape the environment. Since Redfield’s time, scientists have discovered that microorganisms also helped shape the chemical composition of our planet’s air and land. Most dramatically, trillions of phytoplankton created the planet’s breathable, oxygen-rich atmosphere.

By analysing a variety of minerals in rocks of known age, geologists discovered that for the first half of Earth’s 4.6-billion-year history its atmosphere contained virtually no free oxygen — it only started accumulating 2.4 billion years ago. They found rocks containing fossilized cyanobacteria, or blue-green algae, whose present-day cousins perform a type of photosynthesis that uses the Sun’s energy to split water into hydrogen and oxygen. There were no land plants, no land animals, and the animals that ate the plants were the phytoplankton of that time. The phytoplankton also provided the oxygen required for the animals that evolved in the ocean, and for the fish, sharks, porpoises and other marine creatures, just as the primary productivity of land plants limits the growth of elephants, giraffes and monkeys.

To make this measurement, Steeman-Nielsen added bicarbonate containing a radioactive isotope of carbon called carbon-14 to samples of sea water. When he exposed the samples to sunlight, the phytoplankton in the samples incorporated carbon-14 into their tissues. By isolating the phytoplankton and measuring the radioactive decay of carbon-14 in their cells, scientists could calculate the total amount of carbon dioxide fixed into organic matter.

Phytoplankton are the foundation of the ocean food web, providing organic matter for virtually all other marine creatures. Their primary productivity limits the growth of crustaceans, fish, sharks, porpoises and other marine creatures, just as the primary productivity of land plants limits the growth of elephants, giraffes and monkeys. By determining the productivity of phytoplankton, marine scientists can also determine how much carbon dioxide is being taken from the atmosphere.

For three decades, oceanographers used Steeman-Nielsen’s carbon-14 technique to answer an important ecological question: how much organic matter do phytoplankton produce globally? The carbon-14 technique helped them measure how quickly phytoplankton were fixing carbon at thousands of sites across the globe, but the estimates of primary productivity they generated were far too low. They calculated that if the numbers were correct, the average phytoplankton in the ocean would take between 16 and 20 days to divide, but that didn’t make sense to the biological oceanographers who were familiar with these organisms. The phytoplankton should have been growing much faster. Something was clearly wrong, but what?

**THE VIEW FROM SPACE**
In the late 1980s, chemist John Martin at the Moss Landing Marine Laboratory in California realized that the discrepancy occurred because of contamination. Most of the seawater samples taken over the previous three decades had been inadvertently contaminated by heavy metals from the black rubber O-rings used to seal the sampling devices. Rubber products are chemically treated during manufacture to give them the correct mechanical properties. This process, called vulcanization, involves treating them with sulphur containing some zinc and tiny amounts of lead. These metals leached from the O-rings and other components into the seawater samples, where they poisoned the phytoplankton. As a result, the measurements of primary production over three decades were compromised, causing scientists to seriously underestimate the importance of the world’s oceans for the global carbon cycle.

Martin and others developed new sampling techniques that kept samples as free as possible of lead and other trace metals, allowing more accurate measurements of phytoplankton’s primary productivity. But there was still a problem. Even with thousands of measurements of primary productivity in the world’s oceans, most of the ocean was still not being observed in any given month or year. Mathematical methods could extrapolate from the primary productivity data to help fill in the gaps, but not well enough. No one really knew how much carbon the world’s phytoplankton pulled from the water around them.

Obtaining reliable estimates of the ocean’s primary productivity required a different approach. So scientists turned to data from the Coastal Zone Color Scanner (CZCS), launched into space on a NASA satellite, which was able to monitor the entire planet’s phytoplankton populations each week.

The CZCS took advantage of the fact that oxygen-producing photosynthesis only occurs in organisms that have a pigment called chlorophyll a. This pigment enables the phytoplankton to absorb blue light, which would otherwise be scattered by the sea water. The more phytoplankton there are in an area of ocean, the more chlorophyll a there is and the darker the area appears from space. Oceanographers first calibrated the colour of the ocean in CZCS photographs with measures of primary productivity such as that developed by Steeman-Nielsen, and then used the colour measurements to obtain better mathematical estimates of phytoplankton productivity than were previously available. The results from several groups of scientists...
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they could see when they placed a drop of sea water under a microscope.

All that began to change in the 1990s, when marine microbiologists started using molecular biology techniques to survey the ocean’s microbial biodiversity. They isolated bulk DNA from all the microbes in various samples of sea water. Then they used a technique called the polymerase chain reaction that allowed them to study all the samples of the gene that produced 16S ribosomal RNA, which every microorganism uses to manufacture proteins. Each variant 16S rRNA gene present indicated a different species of microorganism. These analyses typically revealed hundreds of microbial species in each sample of sea water.

In the early 2000s, biologists ramped up the biodiversity search using methods adapted from the human genome project. By then, molecular biologists had developed powerful techniques and computational methods that let them clone, sequence and assemble DNA thousands of times faster than before. Craig Venter, a molecular biologist and entrepreneur who had founded Celera Genomics, had helped develop one of those methods, called shotgun sequencing. In shotgun sequencing, an organism’s DNA is broken randomly into many small segments and sequenced. Then a computer program finds regions of sequence overlap between the segments and uses them to stitch the segments together to reconstruct the original DNA sequence.

Not long after his team from Celera Genomics reported the first human genome sequence in 2000, Venter, an avid sailor, turned his attention to the sea. He sailed a research vessel to the Sargasso Sea, a well-studied area of the Atlantic Ocean off the coast of Bermuda, where his team collected hundreds of litres of sea water. They filtered the microbes out, isolated their DNA en masse, and began shotgun sequencing them on an almost industrial scale.

By determining the nucleotide sequence of more than 1.6 million cloned DNA fragments, they found evidence for 1,164 different microbial species in the seawater. They also estimated from statistical methods that even with their industrial-scale approach, they had failed to detect 98% of the species present. In other words, there were more than 47,000 species in just that one small area, and the microbial biodiversity in the open ocean was immense. What’s more, the Sargasso Sea is one of the ocean’s least biologically active areas. Venter’s study opened a door to large-scale genomics of the ocean biome.

Many of these genes are essential for the survival of the microorganisms, but about 1,500 genes are especially important. Some of these genes encode the proteins used in photosynthesis, which supplies the oxygen that keeps our atmosphere breathable and converts carbon dioxide to organic matter. Other genes encode enzymes that burn the organic matter with oxygen to create energy, returning the carbon dioxide and completing the cycle. Some encode enzymes that convert elemental nitrogen from the air to ammonia, which organisms can use to build tissues. Others encode enzymes that oxidize the nitrogen in the ammonia in several steps, regenerating the nitrogen. The enzymes encoded by these 1,500 genes do more than keep their organisms alive. Importantly, they oxidize and reduce the most abundant elements in organisms — hydrogen, nitrogen, sulphur, oxygen, carbon and phosphorus — allowing planetary-scale cycling that maintains an environment suitable to life as we know it.

**MORE QUESTIONS**
The more we learn, the more questions we have. Some of the questions are in the realm of basic biology. What evolutionary processes maintained such an extraordinary diversity of microbial species? Have microorganisms that play key biogeochemical roles gone undiscovered? How did these essential reactions evolve, and when did they become ubiquitous enough to influence the land, the oceans and the atmosphere worldwide?

Then there are the practical questions. As humanity pumps nitrogen into the oceans and carbon into the atmosphere, causing dead zones and disrupting the climate, how long can phytoplankton keep cleaning up our mess? Can we enlist phytoplankton genes to make hydrocarbons so we no longer have to drill for oil? Can we use other genes to help us harvest energy from the Sun? Can studying the diverse metabolic pathways of phytoplankton lead to ways to help them clean up oil spills or develop clean fuels that emit none of the carbon dioxide that drives climate change?

Ultimately, the microorganisms in the ocean will survive, as they have for billions of years, and they will help restore Earth to a biogeochemical steady state. If we can understand them better, perhaps we can help them help humanity survive as well.
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