Influence of flood frequency, temperature and population density on migration of *Fundulus heteroclitus* in semi-isolated marsh pond habitats
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ABSTRACT: Fish respond to habitat and demographic factors that affect growth and survival through migration, reproduction and mortality. However, the importance of migration rates on fish population dynamics and the effects of habitat and demographic factors on movement are not well understood. We used mark-recapture to determine population dynamics (recruitment, mortality, immigration and emigration) of the mummichog *Fundulus heteroclitus* inhabiting semi-isolated ponds in a New Jersey salt marsh over the spring and summer of 2002. We tested the effects of marsh pond flood frequency, water temperature and fish density on mummichog emigration from marsh ponds. Results show that during the April to July study period, monthly emigration rates averaged 30% and tended to decline from spring to summer in most ponds. Recruitment and immigration were negligible after May and June, respectively. Increasing mortality and decreasing emigration and immigration over the study period suggested that there was a shift in the importance of movement in regulating mummichog population size in marsh ponds. The mean rate of emigration showed a significant negative relationship with the frequency of flooding in a pond. Neither mummichog density nor water temperature was strongly correlated with emigration rate. Our finding of lower emigration from ponds with higher flooding frequency suggests that frequent pond flooding indirectly triggers stationary behaviour in mummichogs because of enhanced water quality and food abundance.
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INTRODUCTION

Movement is a condition-dependent trait attributed to the resolve of mobile animals to maximize fitness (Hanski & Gilpin 1991, Kristan 2003). Individual movement responses to habitat and demographic factors such as predation risk (Werner et al. 1983), resource competition (Fretwell & Lucas 1969) and abiotic conditions (McMahon & Tash 1988) are often triggered by unsuitable conditions. Populations are dynamic because they increase and decrease as a result of individual responses to demographic and habitat factors. Characteristics that determine population-level change include recruitment, mortality, emigration and immigration. Despite their contribution to population processes, immigration and emigration data are frequently unavailable or their impacts perceived to be minimal; thus their importance in population dynamics is often unknown (McMahon & Matter 2006).

Fish are highly mobile animals that use a variety of habitats which make them suitable study organisms for movement studies (Lucas & Baras 2001). Specifically, salt marsh fish are adapted to using marsh habitats that serve a variety of ecological functions (Kneib 1997, Valiela et al. 2004), with multi-habitat uses by fish well documented in marshes (Fritz et al. 1975, Lotrich 1975,
Halpin 1997, Able et al. 2006). The characteristic use of subtidal, intertidal and marsh surface habitats by a dominant and abundant resident marsh fish, the mummichog *Fundulus heteroclitus* (Fundulidae), is particularly important because the movements of this species between habitats provide a key trophic linkage between terrestrial and aquatic environments in coastal zones (Kneib & Stiven 1978, Kneib 1986, Tupper & Able 2000). While the use of marsh habitats by mummichogs is important from the perspective of marsh ecological processes (Kneib 1986), little is known about the population dynamics of this species in natural marshes.

Common features of salt marshes worldwide are marsh ponds scattered across their surface that provide habitat for fish and other marine benthic organisms. A unique element of marsh ponds is their exposure to tidal flooding that temporarily links their inhabitants to the marsh landscape. During a flood, mummichogs actively use the marsh surface for foraging (Weisberg & Lotrich 1982, Kneib & Wagner 1994) and they can move among marsh habitats (Whoriskey & Fitzgerald 1989, Smith & Able 1994). Flood timing in the marsh is relatively predictable, but flood magnitude can vary greatly, with periodic drought conditions, and can limit access to marsh surface habitat and thus affect fish migration patterns (Childers et al. 1990, Halupa & Howes 1995). Marsh fish may be subjected to high fish density (Raposa & Roman 2001), severe temperatures (>40°C) and extremely low concentrations of dissolved oxygen (Smith & Able 2003) between periods of flooding, particularly if low tidal periods persist (Childers et al. 1990).

It is not known whether habitat or demographic factors contribute to fish migration patterns on the marsh surface, or what role flooding plays in influencing movement. High temperatures or high population density in infrequently flooded ponds may contribute to population change through increased emigration rates during times of flooding (McMahon & Tash 1988). In contrast, ponds that are subjected to more regular tidal flooding may be cooler and higher in oxygen concentration, as well as have increased productivity which provides more food resources for fish (Froneman 2002, Balcombe et al. 2005, 2007). Diminished exploration behaviour by individuals experiencing more suitable habitat conditions linked to tidal flooding may result in lower emigration rates (McMahon & Matter 2006).

In the present study, we used mark-recapture to estimate monthly population size and migration, recruitment and mortality rates of mummichogs in ponds in the Sheepshead Meadows salt marsh (New Jersey, USA) during the spring and summer when reproduction and most of the somatic growth occurs in this species. The principal objectives of the present study were to determine monthly patterns in migration, recruitment and mortality rates of mummichogs inhabiting these ponds, and to specifically examine the effects of tidal flood frequency, population density and water temperature on the emigration rate of mummichogs. We predicted that mummichogs would emigrate at a higher rate from ponds with (1) more tidal flooding, (2) higher temperature, and (3) higher population density.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Study area, ponds and on-site physical monitoring.** The present study was conducted in the Sheepshead Meadows salt marsh, located near Tuckerton, New Jersey (39° N, 74° W), on the northeast coast of the United States (Fig. 1). This site is one of the last altered marsh systems along the northeast coast (Lathrop et al. 2000). The study area has a large number of ponds on the marsh surface, ranging in size from 1 to >1000 m², with a mean pond size of 150 m² (Lathrop et al. 2000). The dominant vegetation on the marsh plain in the study area, and surrounding all study ponds, is smooth cordgrass *Spartina alterniflora*.

Mummichogs are typically distributed in intertidal and subtidal marsh areas on the east coast of North America (Kneib 1997). Though several fish species are resident in marsh ponds in the Sheepshead Meadows marsh (e.g. *F. luciae, Gasterosteus aculeatus, Cyprinodon variegatus, Lucania parva, Menidia beryllina; Szedlmayer & Able 1996, Able et al. 2005*), the mummichog is the most abundant fish species inhabiting marsh ponds in New Jersey (Able & Szedlmayer 1996). We sampled mummichog populations in 6 marsh ponds adjacent to the Rutgers University Marine Field Station (RUMFS; Fig. 1).

We installed Onset Tidbit data loggers in all study ponds; these recorded temperature continuously over the study period. Daily tide fluctuations were measured with a gas-purged tide gauge (Metercraft) attached to a continuous chart recorder located immediately adjacent to the study area. We monitored marsh flooding of the individual study ponds by placing tide staffs (meter sticks to which narrow plastic cups were attached at 5 cm intervals) at the perimeter of each pond which we used to estimate the minimum tide level required to flood each pond. To obtain an estimate of the total number of floods occurring at a pond, we summed the occasions when the main tide gauge recorded a tide level greater than the tide level required to fill the bottom cup of the tide staff at each pond (Hunter et al. 2006).

Of the 6 marsh ponds chosen for study, we kept 4 in their natural state (i.e. open) and enclosed 2 others...
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Data collected from enclosed ponds were used to estimate natural mortality of mummichogs in ponds (see ‘Estimation of natural mortality’). We used intermediate sized ponds of 40 to 110 m² surface area (see Table 1) to ensure that there would be a sufficient number of fish available for marking in each pond. We chose study ponds that would be susceptible to flooding associated with spring tides in the study area (Halupa & Howes 1995). Because marsh surface flooding can be very infrequent during the summer months at this location (Halupa & Howes 1995), we chose to sample the study ponds once per month to provide opportunities for marsh flooding to occur between sampling periods. Aquatic vegetation was sparse in all study ponds.

Mark-recapture techniques. We collected data on mummichog populations in the 6 study ponds by capturing, marking and recapturing fish. We used the same techniques in both open and enclosed ponds, but the timing and duration of data collection in the 2 types of study ponds differed. There were 2 stages of data collection for each mark-recapture sample: (1) fish were captured, enumerated and marked, and (2) fish were captured, enumerated and checked for previous marks. We repeated the capture, mark and recapture process 3 consecutive times at each pond in each month to collect a multiple-day recapture data series. In total, we collected 4 independent monthly series of mark-recapture data at each open pond (April–July, n = 16). For the enclosed ponds, data were gathered over 3 mo (May–July, n = 12).

For the initial capture stage, we deployed 10 cylindrical wire-mesh traps (6 mm mesh) in each pond (12 ± 2 h soak time). Mummichogs caught in the traps were immediately brought to the lab, and individuals were given a mark in the dorsal or lateral musculature by a subcutaneous injection of fluorescent acrylic paint (Lotrich & Meredith 1974, Smith & Able 1994). Each month, we marked mummichogs with a different color and body location. The combination of mark color and location made it possible to determine the origin of the fish and whether it had been previously captured in a pond. We removed and recorded any dead or moribund fish from all samples. Mortality attributed to marking was less than 1% within all monthly assessments.

Live, marked mummichogs from a given pond were released simultaneously back to their pond of origin. We waited at least 6 h for the released fish to disperse within the pond before setting traps for recapture. To recapture marked fish, we redeployed the same wire-mesh traps in the ponds (April and May: 14 ± 2 h soak time; June and July: 8 ± 2 h reduced soak time to avoid mortality in traps from hypoxia). Captured fish were brought back to the lab where we inspected each individual for marks under a black light. Marked and unmarked individuals were recorded, and 250 randomly selected individuals were measured. At that time, we gave all unmarked individuals the mark assigned to the current monthly sample. We redeployed the same traps on 2 consecutive days to complete the monthly 3 d mark-recapture data series. We used the ratio of marked and unmarked fish to estimate pond population size (see ‘Population estimates’). We used the changes in the number of marked fish between successive monthly data series to estimate rates of emigration, immigration, recruitment and mortality.

Mark retention assessment. To ensure the marking method did not contribute greatly to reduced population estimate reliability, we assessed how well mummichogs retained marks over the study period in the laboratory. In previous studies, retention of subcutaneous acrylic paint marks in mummichogs has been reported to last as long as 7 mo with a very low impact on mortality (Lotrich & Meredith 1974, Smith & Able 1994).
On 5 June, we collected 150 mummichogs and marked each fish on the dorsal and lateral musculature using the same technique as the field samples. We held the marked fish in 380 l circular tanks with circulating seawater at ambient creek temperature to examine mark retention over the course of study. Fish were fed flake food daily and examined periodically to determine mark loss. All marks were highly visible within the first 50 d from initial marking. We relied on a black light to detect dorsal marks after 50 d. Ten percent of dorsal marks were lost after 80 d. Marks injected in the lateral musculature of the fish were highly visible throughout the 80 d period that mark retention was assessed. This assessment showed that the marking technique employed in our field samples was subject to little or no mark loss between the monthly periods used for assessing migration rates.

**Population estimates.** Two of the most commonly used methods to estimate fish population size are mark-recapture and catch per unit effort (CPUE). In the present study, we made population estimates from mark-recapture rather than CPUE data, as the latter have been shown to produce inaccurate population estimates when mummichogs are collected with wire mesh traps, as their ability to escape from these open-ended traps can vary with time (Kneib & Craig 2001).

We assumed that marsh ponds under non-flooding conditions would be acceptable ‘closed’ habitats from a population modelling perspective (Cowley & Whitfield 2002), and conducted the monthly mark-recapture assessments at least 5 d prior to the predicted high tide of each month. However, on 3 occasions during the study period, one or more of the ponds flooded during the collection of recapture data, affecting 12 out of the 48 samples taken. We employed the Petersen method, which uses the ratio of recaptured animals (number captured/number marked) in a single random sample to estimate population size:

\[
\hat{N} = \frac{(A \times C_1)}{C_2}
\]

where \(N\) is the estimated population size, \(A\) is the number of fish marked and released, \(C_1\) is the number of fish in the recapture sample and \(C_2\) is the number of fish recaptured (Seber 1973).

Three main assumptions of the Petersen model are: (1) the population is closed, i.e. there is no birth, death, immigration or emigration; (2) all fish are equally catchable; and (3) marks are not missed or lost. To meet the first assumption of the Petersen method, we calculated abundance estimates of mummichogs in ponds using only data that were collected prior to any flooding at a pond (n = 36 population estimates) and when more than one monthly estimate could be used in a pond, we calculated a mean population estimate for that month. The same procedures to estimate population size in open ponds were used for enclosed ponds. We determined mummichog density in each study pond by dividing the population estimate by the estimated surface area.

**Estimation of natural mortality.** We constructed 2 artificial pond enclosures that eliminated fish movement (immigration and emigration) and used data from enclosed pond populations to estimate the natural mortality rate of mummichogs in marsh ponds. On 13 May, 2 marsh ponds were artificially enclosed using 6 mm nylon mesh material embedded 0.25 m into the marsh surface, 1 m outside the perimeter of each pond. The mesh was stapled to 2.5 × 10 cm wooden stakes driven into the marsh surface every 2.5 m. Horizontal stakes were nailed to the upright stakes to provide stability. The height of each enclosure was 0.75 m.

Mortality (\(M\)) between 2 consecutive monthly population assessments (\(t - 1, t\)) was assumed from the monthly population change in the 2 enclosed ponds (ep). We included a recruitment term (\(R\)) to adjust for individuals that would grow large enough to be captured with the sampling gear between experiments. Mortality was calculated as follows:

\[
M_{t-1,t} = N_{t-1,(ep)} - \frac{[N_{t,(ep)} - R_{t-1,(ep)}]}{N_{t-1}}
\]

And in percentage terms:

\[
\%M_{t-1,t} = M_{t-1,t} / N_{t-1}
\]

The mortality rate estimated between May and June was applied to the period between April and May because enclosures were not built until mid-May.

We assumed that the average mortality rate in the enclosures was equal to mortality in the open ponds and used this information to calculate immigration and emigration rates in open ponds. The number of mortalities in open ponds was determined by multiplying the mean monthly mortality rate by the total number of marked individuals from the monthly capture-recapture sample.

**Estimation of emigration, recruitment and immigration.** The analysis of population dynamics of mummichogs in marsh ponds was centred on estimating the monthly emigration rate of mummichogs from each study pond. We used the mark-recapture data to estimate the number of remaining marked fish in a pond from the previous month’s mark-recapture series, and to determine the rate of emigration from a pond between monthly samples. In addition, we estimated the recruitment rate of mummichogs (unmarked, 40 to 45 mm TL) to the sampling gear between monthly sampling events at each study pond. Lastly, combining the estimates of emigration, recruitment and mortality parameters, we calculated the number of immigrants to a pond and determined the rate of immigration algebraically.
Emigration: The emigration rate (%E_{t-1,t}) of mummichogs from a pond between 2 consecutive monthly samples is related to the change in the number of marked fish from one month to the next (X_{t}, X_{t-1}), with the latter adjusted for loss due to mortality (assumed from the enclosed ponds). The current number of marked fish remaining from the previous sample population (X_{t}) was adjusted for the proportion of marked fish present but not counted by multiplying the marked captures by the recapture rate of the current month (RC_{t}):

\[ X_{t \text{adj}} = X_{t} \times RC_{t} \]  

(4)

Losses in the number of marked fish from time \( t - 1 \) to \( t \) would occur as the result of emigration and natural mortality. The number of emigrants between 2 assessment periods was thus calculated as:

\[ E_{t-1,t} = \left[ (X_{t} - X_{t \text{adj}})/X_{t-1} \right] - \left[ \% M/100 \times X_{t-1} \right] \]  

(5)

and the emigration rate for the period was calculated as:

\[ \% E_{t-1,t} = 100 \times E_{t-1,t}/N_{t-1} \]  

(6)

Recruitment: We estimated the number of recruits in the current population (\( N_{t} \)) by assuming that all individuals 40 to 45 mm TL are in fact recruits (i.e. were not part of the previous population surveyed as we have defined it). Capture efficiency of wire mesh traps is not effective for mummichogs <40 mm TL (Teo 1999). Therefore, \( N_{t} \) includes individuals that would have been too small to be effectively captured by the sampling gear in previous samples. We assumed on the basis of spring and summer growth trajectories that mummichogs <40 mm TL at our study site grow in length at an average rate of 5 mm mo\(^{-1}\) (Hunter 2004). Based on measurements from a subsample, the proportion of fish that were present in the 40 to 45 mm range (pN\(_{40-45}\)) was used to account for the part of the population that would not have been counted in previous estimates. Thus:

\[ R_{t-1,t} = pN_{40-45}/N_{t} \]  

(7)

We then determined recruitment rate in percentage terms as follows:

\[ \% R_{t-1,t} = 100 \times R_{t-1,t}/N_{t-1} \]  

(8)

Imigration: To estimate the number of individuals that immigrated into a pond between monthly samples, we rearranged the basic population dynamics equation where all other variables were known and solved for immigration (\( l \)):

\[ I_{t-1,t} = N_{t} - (N_{t-1} + E_{t-1,t} - M_{t-1,t}) \]  

(9)

where \( N_{t} \) is the population estimate for the current month.

Percent immigration was determined as follows:

\[ \% I_{t-1,t} = I_{t-1,t}/N_{t-1} \]  

(10)

Because of the indirect manner in which it was estimated, the immigration rate was highly dependent on the mortality rate assumed from the enclosed ponds. Mortality rates could have been overestimated by our procedures simply due to the use of enclosures, or due to higher temperatures and/or fewer occurrences of flooding in the enclosed ponds (see Table 1). On the other hand, mortality rates could have been underestimated because population densities in the enclosed ponds were lower than those of the unenclosed ponds. To determine the degree to which spring and summer trends in immigration are affected by the mortality rate, we performed a sensitivity analysis on the monthly rate of immigration by increasing and decreasing the mortality rate by 25 and 50%.

Relationships between environmental variables and emigration rates. We tested our predictions on the effects of flood frequency, temperature and fish density on emigration rates using the spring–summer means (monthly data averaged for each pond, \( n = 4 \)) and individual monthly estimates made in each pond (\( n = 12 \)). Univariate relationships using the pond means were examined with Pearson correlations. To test for relationships with the monthly data, we used analysis of covariance (ANCOVA), with flood frequency, temperature or fish density as the covariate, and site as the independent variable. Significance of the covariate was then tested using the mean square error and degrees of freedom from the site \( \times \) covariate interaction term.

RESULTS

Mean monthly temperature in the ponds increased gradually over the study period from 18.0 to 27.0°C. Flooding occurred in all ponds throughout the study period (Table 1; mean number of days flooded ± SE = 39.3 ± 3.1).

The total number of mummichogs that were caught, marked and released in the 4 open ponds across all monthly mark-recapture samples was 17,878. The body lengths of captured and recaptured mummichogs ranged from 35 to 109 mm TL, and length distributions were similar among ponds (Table 2). The average recapture rate for all monthly mark-recapture samples in open ponds was 72.3% (range = 44 to 96%; Table 2). Recapture rates were also high in the enclosed ponds, often exceeding 90%. Changes in population estimates over the study period suggested a consistent decline in the density of mummichogs in ponds after the peak spawning period ended in May.
Table 1. Physical characteristics of open (Ponds 1 to 4) and enclosed ponds (Enclosures 1 and 2). Pond locations are shown in Fig. 1. Tide height that flooded a pond was estimated by using tide staffs at the perimeter of each pond; no tide staffs were placed at the enclosures. Enclosures were observed to be flooded on 25 June and 11 July. The high tide level recorded by a central tide gauge at the Marine Field Station was used to estimate the tide level required to flood the enclosures.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Population assessment interval</th>
<th>Surface area (m²)</th>
<th>Mean depth (m) (SD)</th>
<th>Mean pond temperature (°C)</th>
<th>Estimated number of floods</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pond 1</td>
<td>Apr–May</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>0.38 (0.4)</td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>May–Jun</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>23.7</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jun–Jul</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>26.7</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pond 2</td>
<td>Apr–May</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>0.39 (0.5)</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>May–Jun</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>24.1</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jun–Jul</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>26.6</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pond 3</td>
<td>Apr–May</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>0.48 (0.7)</td>
<td>18.1</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>May–Jun</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>24.3</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jun–Jul</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>27.3</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pond 4</td>
<td>Apr–May</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>0.32 (0.5)</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>May–Jun</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>24.5</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jun–Jul</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>27.3</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enclosure 1</td>
<td>Apr–May</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.35 (0.4)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>May–Jun</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>25.1</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jun–Jul</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>27.7</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enclosure 2</td>
<td>Apr–May</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>0.41 (0.3)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>May–Jun</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>24.6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jun–Jul</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>27.0</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. *Fundulus heteroclitus*. Body size, population size, recapture rate, cumulative number of captures and recaptures and mummichog density for all monthly assessments (April to July) in open ponds and enclosures. Only mummichogs >40 mm TL were given a mark and used in population assessments. Mean abundance estimates omit recapture data for 1 (*) or 2 (**) recapture samples that were affected by flooding at the pond, thus violating closed system assumptions of the population model.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Month</th>
<th>Size range of captures (mm TL)</th>
<th>Mean abundance estimate (SE)</th>
<th>Mean recapture rate</th>
<th>Cumulative no. captures</th>
<th>Cumulative no. recaptures</th>
<th>Density (fish m⁻²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pond 1</td>
<td>Apr</td>
<td>35–96</td>
<td>1137 (182)*</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>991</td>
<td>715</td>
<td>41.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>May</td>
<td>37–95</td>
<td>936b</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>2036</td>
<td>1609</td>
<td>65.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jun</td>
<td>40–86</td>
<td>700 (8)</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>1608</td>
<td>1545</td>
<td>25.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jul</td>
<td>35–77</td>
<td>551 (19)</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>674</td>
<td>621</td>
<td>20.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pond 2</td>
<td>Apr</td>
<td>36–108</td>
<td>364 (18)*</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>698</td>
<td>584</td>
<td>14.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>May</td>
<td>37–95</td>
<td>675b</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>1572</td>
<td>1095</td>
<td>43.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jun</td>
<td>39–90</td>
<td>589 (7)</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>1233</td>
<td>1079</td>
<td>23.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jul</td>
<td>35–86</td>
<td>379 (6)</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>359</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>16.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pond 3</td>
<td>Apr</td>
<td>35–109</td>
<td>1543 (7)*</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>2034</td>
<td>1229</td>
<td>41.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>May</td>
<td>35–97</td>
<td>2582b</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1833</td>
<td>1254</td>
<td>47.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jun</td>
<td>41–88</td>
<td>1949 (17)</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>2333</td>
<td>1790</td>
<td>36.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jul</td>
<td>35–89</td>
<td>755 (177)</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>793</td>
<td>233</td>
<td>20.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pond 4</td>
<td>Apr</td>
<td>35–107</td>
<td>4546 (193)*</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>2458</td>
<td>1324</td>
<td>41.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>May</td>
<td>36–96</td>
<td>3485b</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>3025</td>
<td>1628</td>
<td>33.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jun</td>
<td>36–95</td>
<td>2015 (395)</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>2381</td>
<td>1794</td>
<td>18.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jul</td>
<td>35–92</td>
<td>691 (71)</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>799</td>
<td>401</td>
<td>7.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enclosure 1</td>
<td>May</td>
<td>35–80</td>
<td>115 (2)</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jun</td>
<td>42–83</td>
<td>83 (3)</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jul</td>
<td>35–75</td>
<td>39 (1)</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enclosure 2</td>
<td>May</td>
<td>36–103</td>
<td>411 (1)</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>1079</td>
<td>1060</td>
<td>5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jun</td>
<td>37–89</td>
<td>362 (6)</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>777</td>
<td>749</td>
<td>4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jul</td>
<td>35–88</td>
<td>289 (11)</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>257</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Variability among replicate estimates of abundance within most monthly data series was low (Table 2). The mean monthly emigration rate varied from 14 to 45% of the population in individual ponds, and these rates differed significantly among ponds ($F_{3,11} = 6.73$, $p = 0.014$). Emigration patterns were asynchronous across ponds, with the rate of emigration declining over the period of study in 2 of the ponds, increasing over time in 1 pond and peaking mid-season in the 4th pond (Fig. 2). The mean emigration rate of mummichogs between monthly sampling periods remained relatively constant between April and June and declined in the last assessment (pooled mean emigration rate (%) ± SE: April–May = 32.9 ± 9.3; May–June = 32.8 ± 7.7; June–July = 24.3 ± 3.0; Fig. 2). Data on monthly emigration rates indicate that site fidelity was generally low, as estimated mummichog emigration over the 3 mo study period varied from 28 to 71% in individual ponds. The estimated number of individuals present in April and still present in early July was also low, varying from 3 to 25%.

Immigration rates declined over the study interval in all but one pond. For the May–June period, immigration rates in 3 of the 4 ponds was near, or less than, 5%, indicating that immigration into ponds by mummi-
chogs is uncommon in the late spring (Fig. 2). Pond 1 showed a slightly increased rate of immigration in the May–June assessment, but this had little impact on the overall population dynamics in the pond (Fig. 2). Individual ponds showed no significant difference in monthly immigration rates ($F_{3,11} = 2.12, p = 0.17$).

Results of the sensitivity analysis showed that assuming a 25% change in the rate of mortality estimated from the enclosed ponds generally changed the magnitude of the immigration rate by <5% (Table 3).

During the early spring, there was high recruitment of young mummichogs 40 to 45 mm TL, but recruitment was negligible in all ponds after the April–May period (average recruitment rate (%) ± SE: April–May = 31.2 ± 12.7; May–June = 1.2 ± 0.5; June–July = 2.3 ± 0.7; Fig. 2). Recruitment between April and May in Pond 2 was estimated as 67%, which accounted for a large portion of the increase in population size in that pond in May (Table 2).

The mean monthly emigration rate in a pond showed a strong negative correlation with the mean monthly frequency of pond flooding, whereas the relationships between emigration rate and both mummichog density and water temperature were weak and not significant (Table 4, Fig. 3A). The negative association between emigration rate and flood frequency was apparent in all months, and individual ponds showed a similar sequence of emigration rate and flood frequency in each month (Fig. 3B). Individual monthly pond estimates of emigration rate did not always decline with flood frequency, yet emigration rate showed a significant negative relationship with flood frequency using the monthly pond estimates when site was controlled for in the analysis ($F_{1,3} = 47.1, p = 0.0063$). In contrast, neither mummichog density nor water temperature was significantly correlated with the monthly emigration rate when site was controlled for ($F_{1,3} < 1.2, p > 0.35$ in both cases).

**DISCUSSION**

Demographic responses that contributed to mummichog population change in marsh ponds in the present study underscore the effect of habitat conditions on population dynamics over space and time (Taylor & Taylor 1977, Hanski & Gilpin 1991). We found a significant negative correlation between flood frequency in a pond and the rate of emigration of resident mummichogs, which suggests that high flood frequency during the summer may trigger stationary behaviour in mummichogs occupying marsh ponds. Pond temperature and fish density did not have significant effects on emigration rate. Advantages may be conferred on adult mummichogs that use pond habitats because predation risk in ponds is lower than creek habitats (Halpin 2000), and water quality and food resources are improved during flooding conditions (Weisberg et al. 1981, Kneib & Wagner 1994, Balcombe et al. 2005, 2007).

Although there may be advantages provided by flooding, emigration by adult mummichogs from marsh ponds was continuous across the study period, suggesting a seasonal migration from marsh ponds to other marsh habitats during the spring and summer. Despite the reduction in pond population size attributed largely to emigration over the spring and summer, we hypothesize that ponds provide especially important habitat for young mummichogs during the same period.
While migration patterns among ponds in the present study were not always synchronous, emigration was the most influential factor producing changes to mummichog abundance in marsh ponds over the study period (mean of 30%), as well as in most months in individual ponds. Mummichog emigration was high relative to mortality, recruitment and immigration rates in most monthly assessments, and rates of emigration were moderate to high (8 to 54%) in any given month. Results from a previous study using desert pupfish *Cyprinodon* *macularius* also showed that a greater proportion of population losses from experimental ponds were attributed to emigration rather than mortality (McMahon & Tash 1988). Given these similar patterns, emigration may be a common source of fish population decline in semi-closed habitats, at least where other suitable habitat is accessible.

Immigration was not a strong driver of population change in 2 of the 4 study ponds in the spring and was negligible in all ponds after the month of May. A sensitivity analysis on immigration estimates showed that either modest (25%) or large (50%) changes to the natural mortality rate would not have produced greatly altered immigration rates. We documented the highest rates of immigration during the peak period of reproductive activity of mummichogs and there was evidence of high recruitment of larval mummichogs to marsh ponds (see below). We speculate that immigration into marsh ponds in the spring may have been driven by adults from other overwintering sites such as other ponds (Smith & Able 1994) or creeks and basins (Able & Fahay 1998). Some of these may have been entering to spawn, as it is known that marsh ponds provide suitable habitat for larval fish (Able et al. 2005, 2006).

The decline in emigration rates from spring to summer and the corresponding increase in mortality rates suggest that there was a shift in the relative importance of migration in regulating mummichog population size in marsh ponds over the study period. Natural mortality of mummichogs increased by 60% from May to July in the enclosed ponds, such that the mortality rate accounted for 31% of the mummichog population in these ponds in July. The increase in mortality may be a result of decreased flooding during June and July, coupled with water temperature that surpassed 38°C in the enclosed ponds on several days during the same months. The mortality estimate made in enclosed ponds is comparable to a previous annual mortality estimate of 54% made for mummichogs Age 1 and
older that were confined in pens in marsh creek habitats (Meredith & Lotrich 1979).

Using recruitment dynamics and pond residency patterns from the present study, we hypothesize that individuals of this species may spend their first summer of life in their natal environment before moving to other habitats. Abundance of mummichogs 40 to 45 mm TL was only substantial in the spring, and we assumed that these fish were not immigrants. One study on overwintering marsh pond mummichog populations suggests that recruits in the study ponds were likely representatives of the previous year’s summer cohort (Smith & Able 1994). In addition, the peak abundance of larval mummichogs in marsh ponds occurs in June and July (Able et al. 2006), leaving a relatively short growth period for hatchlings. Further, over the 3 mo study period, monthly emigration rates indicated that site fidelity was generally low. Based on mark-recapture data, the estimated number of individuals marked in April and still present in July was also low, ranging from 3 to 25%. Based on back-calculated growth estimates of mummichogs in the Sheepshead Meadows marsh (Hunter et al. 2007), most of the individuals that were resident in study ponds throughout the study period (mean length = 60.7 mm TL in July) would have been small fish when they were first marked in April (i.e. 40 to 45 mm TL). Thus, resident mummichogs may represent young-of-the-year from the previous summer. Many juvenile fishes are known to hold off movement until they are of a specific size or age (Kendall et al. 1984, Groot & Margolis 1991), including mummichogs (Able et al. 2006). However, it is possible that some of the emigration from marsh ponds is related to a change in habitat preference by young mummichogs, as well as a seasonal habitat shift by adult fish into creek habitats where they are also abundant during summer (Tupper & Able 2000). A future migration study using individually marked fish would be a useful way to examine some of the life-stage related migration patterns that could not be specifically determined in the present study.

In the present study, the overall immigration and emigration rates suggest a pond to creek migration course by mummichogs from spring to summer. Pond quality in mid-summer in most ponds may be sufficiently diminished to discourage pond use by mummichogs, or rather mid-summer use of other habitats may confer an unknown fitness advantage on adult mummichogs. Smith & Able (1994) suggested a reverse migration pattern of increased pond habitation by mummichogs in the fall. Given these combined seasonal migrations, we suggest that a diversity of marsh habitats, including marsh ponds, is likely important for mummichogs, as well as seasonal marsh trophic dynamics.

### Habitat and demographic effects on emigration rate

Population losses through emigration suggest that animals may be responding to habitat cues that indicate deteriorating habitat quality (Winker et al. 1995, Belanger & Rodriguez 2002, McMahon & Matter 2006). For example, increased rates of local movement of spiders within low quality habitats were correlated with increased emigration rates (Bonte et al. 2004), and avoidance of habitat types of diminished quality is also documented for many stream fishes (Rice 2005, Cucherousset et al. 2007). In the present study, the significant negative relationship between the mean flood frequency in a pond and its mean emigration rate is consistent with our predictions, probably because of reduced seasonal habitat-associated stress including access to food resources, high temperature and low dissolved oxygen (Balcombe et al. 2005, 2007). Flooding of marsh ponds occurred on 40% of days in the study period and was presumably sufficient to permit fish migration to and from marsh ponds between monthly mark-recapture intervals and across the spring and summer. In marsh environments, the availability of flooded marsh surface habitat is associated with variability in flood magnitude (Morris et al. 1990, Halupa & Howes 1995). Flooding also affects access to other habitats and resources for fish (Junk et al. 1989), and may be a strong driver for many fish species for foraging (Kneib & Wagner 1994, Balcombe et al. 2005), growth (Sommer et al. 2001, Balcombe et al. 2007) and movement (Whoriskey & Fitzgerald 1989, Szedlmayer & Able 1993).

Temperature is an important factor that affects the quality of fish habitat. In the present study, temperature in the study ponds increased in June and July, but was relatively cool in April and May. Temperature conditions experienced by mummichogs may also have been mediated by pond flooding during the warmest months (Schulte 2007). Ponds may not have reached the extreme temperature that would initiate a response by mummichogs, as they may only be affected (i.e. loss of equilibrium) by temperatures between 32 and 44°C, depending on the ambient temperature to which they are acclimated (Beitinger et al. 2000, Schulte 2007). The average study pond temperature in June–July was 27°C, whereas the maximum tolerance of mummichogs is reported to be 42°C (Bulger & Tremaine 1985). Although we did not detect an association between emigration and pond temperature, moderated habitat conditions may have discouraged movement away from ponds where, relative to marsh creeks, refuge from predators is enhanced for mummichogs (Halpin 2000, Paterson & Whitfield 2000).

Fish populations may be regulated via density-dependent habitat factors that are depleted by fish (i.e.
resources, dissolved oxygen) (Tilman 1982, Hayes et al. 1996). Despite the high seasonal and among-pond variation in mummichog density, there was no evidence in the present study that emigration rate was influenced by density. The average (±SE) fish density in open marsh ponds was 47.5 ± 6.8 fish m$^{-2}$ at its peak in May, but declined in to 16.5 ± 3.0 fish m$^{-2}$ in July. The mean density in July was similar to the density estimate of 10 fish m$^{-2}$ for mummichogs in a semi-isolated restored marsh habitat reported for July–October (Raposa & Roman 2001).

In conclusion, the present study shows that emigration plays an important role in short-term and spring–summer population dynamics of mummichogs in marsh ponds, and that population dynamics are impacted by the frequency of flooding in marsh ponds. As the summer progresses, more frequent flooding may sufficiently alter the proximate factors that influence mummichog emigration. In general, tidal flooding may encourage use of pond habitats for longer periods through the spring and summer, which allows prolonged use of a habitat type that may confer fitness advantages on pond residents, given that ponds provide mummichog habitat for spawning, foraging and refuge from aquatic predators. The ecological significance of tide-mediated patterns is that tidal regime plays an important role in the transfer of energy, via fish movement, between marshes and coastal marine ecosystems. Future studies of fishes and marsh restoration projects in coastal regions should account for the influence of the tidal regime on movement, distribution and fish assemblages in marsh habitats.
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